LITTLE TRAVERSE BAY BANDS OF ODAWA INDIANS APPELLATE COURT

KATHERINE SHOMIN,

Plaintiff/Appellant,

Case No.: A-020-0212 (C-135-1011)

v.

LITTLE TRAVERSE BAY BANDS OF ODAWA INDIANS, Defendant/Appellee.

Katherine Shomin In Pro Per P.O. Box 462 Pefoskey, MI 49770 (231) 360-5367 Counsel for Defendant/Appellee James A. Bransky (P38713) 9393 Lake Leelanau Drive Traverse City, MI 49684 (231) 946-5241 phone (231) 946-5271 fax jbransky@chartermi.net

DECISION AND ORDER

Plaintiff/Appellant Katherine Shomin appealed the decision of the Tribal Court in Shomin v. Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians. The decision of the Tribal Court dismissed the Plaintiff/Appellant's complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because it concluded that the complaint was untimely and therefore barred by the Tribe's sovereign immunity. In addition, the Plaitiff/Appellant appeals the Tribal Court's decision dismissing her request to have her tribal citizenship reinstated. The Tribal Court rejected her request because it found that the Tribal Constitution provides that adults who have been removed from the Tribal membership roll shall not be eligible for re-enrollment in the Tribe for a period of five years. The Tribal Court also

concluded that the Plaintiff/Appellant had no authority to request that her adult children's citizenship be reinstated.

Analysis

The Plaintiff/Appellant's complaint alleged actions that violate the Tribe's Fair

Employment Statute, codified at Title XIV of the Tribal Code. WOS 2008-011. However,

Section 5 of this statute requires that "[a]ny charge of violation [of the statute] must be filed with
the Tribal Court within one-hundred eighty (180) days of the alleged violation." WOS 2008011, October 5, 2008, Section V). In this case, the Plaintiff/Appellant filed the complaint
approximately three years after the alleged violation, about two and a half years beyond the onehundred eighty day limit. The Appellate Court therefore concludes that the Tribal Court was
correct to conclude that the complaint was barred for untimeliness.

With respect to the request for the reinstatement of the Plaintiff/Appellant's tribal citizenship, the Appellate Court notes that the record indicates that the Plaintiff/Appellant voluntarily relinquished her citizenship. The voluntary relinquishment of one's tribal citizenship is permitted under Article V(D)(2) of the LTBB Constitution. Furthermore, Article V(F) of the LTBB Constitution provides:

Once removed from the Tribal membership roll, an adult individual shall not be eligible for re-enrollment for a period of five (5) years.

Since the Plaintiff/Appellant sought reinstatement of her tribal citizenship prior to the expiration of five years, the Tribal Court was correct to dismiss this portion of her complaint. In addition, the Appellate Court also affirms the Tribal Court's conclusion that the Plaintiff/Appellate lacked the authority to request the reinstatement of tribal citizenship for her adult children because it finds that the Plaintiff/Appellant lacked standing to assert the rights of her adult children.

Conclusion

Based on the reasoning above, the Appellate Court AFFIRMS the Tribal Court's Order Following Motion Hearing dismissing the Plaintiff/Appellant's complaint in the matter of Shomin v. Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians.

SO ORDERED.

Date: 314/15

Wenona T. Singel, Chief Appellate Justice